# THE TWO-COMPONENT NON-PERTURBATIVE POMERON AND THE G-UNIVERSALITY

## Basarab NICOLESCU

LPNHE\*- LPTPE, Université Pierre et Marie Curie, 4, Place Jussieu, 75252 Paris Cedex 05, France nicolesc@in2p3.fr

Talk at the International Workshop on Diffraction in High-Energy Physics, Cetraro, Italy, September 2-7, 2000 (to be published in the Proceedings of this Conference, Nucl. Phys. B)

### Abstract

In this communication we present a generalization of the Donnachie-Landshoff model inspired by the recent discovery of a 2-component Pomeron in LLA-QCD by Bartels, Lipatov and Vacca. In particular, we explore a new property, not present in the usual Regge theory - the G-Universality - which signifies the independence of one of the Pomeron components on the nature of the initial and final hadrons. The best description of the  $\bar{p}p$ , pp,  $\pi^{\pm}p$ ,  $K^{\pm}p$ ,  $\gamma\gamma$  and  $\gamma p$  forward data is obtained when G-universality is imposed. Moreover, the  $\ell n^2 s$  behaviour of the hadron amplitude, first established by Heisenberg, is clearly favoured by the data.

LPNHE 00-13 October 2000

<sup>\*</sup>Unité de Recherche des Universités Paris 6 et Paris 7, Associée au CNRS

The Donnachie-Landshoff model [1] - denoted as  $Xs^{\epsilon}$  in the following is very successful in describing  $\sigma_T$  and forward (t=0)  $\rho$  data for  $\bar{p}p$ , pp,  $\pi^{\pm}p$ ,  $K^{\pm}p$ ,  $\gamma\gamma$  and  $\gamma p$  scatterings :  $\chi^2/dof = 1.020$  for 16 parameters, 383 data points and  $\sqrt{s} \geq 9$  GeV [2].

In the present communication I will explore a QCD-inspired generalization of this model. The results are obtained in collaboration with P. Gauron [3].

Recently, Bartels, Lipatov and Vacca [4] discovered the existence of a 2-component Pomeron in LLA. The first component is associated with 2-gluon exchanges and corresponds to an intercept

$$\alpha_P^{2g} \ge 1. \tag{1}$$

The second component is associated with 3-gluon exchanges with C = +1 and corresponds to an intercept

$$\alpha_P^{3g} = 1. (2)$$

This last component is exchange-degenerate with the 3-gluon C=-1 Odderon. It is therefore useful to explore possible 2-component Pomeron generalizations of the 1-component  $Xs^{\epsilon}$  Pomeron

$$\sigma_{AB}(s) = Z_{AB} + X_{AB}(s) + Y_{AB}^{+} s^{\alpha_{+}-1} \pm Y_{AB}^{-} s^{\alpha_{-}-1}, \tag{3}$$

where  $\sigma_{AB}(s)$  are total cross-sections,

$$X_{AB}(s) = X_{AB}s^{\alpha_P - 1} \tag{4}$$

$$= X_{AB} \ell n \ s \tag{5}$$

$$= X_{AB} \left[ \ell n^2 \left( \frac{s}{s_0} \right) - \frac{\pi^2}{4} \right], \tag{6}$$

and  $\alpha_P$ ,  $\alpha_+$  and  $\alpha_-$  are Reggeon intercepts;  $Z_{AB}$ ,  $X_{AB}$ ,  $Y_{AB}^{\pm}$ ,  $s_0$  are constants. The + sign in front of the  $Y_{AB}^-$  term in eq. (3) corresponds to  $\{A=\bar{p},\ \pi^-,\ K^-,\ B=p\}$  and the - sign to  $\{A=p,\ \pi^+,\ K^+,\ B=p\}$ . If  $A=\gamma$  in eqs. (3)-(6), then  $B=\gamma$ , p and  $Y_{AB}^-=0$ . An implicit scale factor of 1 (GeV)<sup>2</sup> is present in the Reggeon and  $\ell n$  s terms.

The first model in eqs. (4)-(6) - denoted as  $Z+Xs^{\epsilon}$  in the following corresponds to a generalized Donnachie - Landshoff approach [5, 6]; the second - denoted as  $Z+X\ell n$  s - to the well known dipole approach [7]; the third - denoted as  $Z+X\ell n^2$  s - to the Heisenberg - Froissart - Martin form first considered in 1952 by W. Heisenberg [8]. The  $\rho$ -parameter is calculated from (3) by using the known  $s \to se^{-i\pi/2}$  crossing rule.

We study, in particular, the following properties:

1. The G-universality [5, 9] ("G" from "gluon") expressed by (see eqs. (3)-(6))

$$X_{AB}(s) = X(s), (7)$$

i.e. independence of  $X_{AB}$  on A and B (A, B= hadrons only), a property not present in the usual Regge theory.

2. The weak exchange-degeneracy

$$\alpha_+ = \alpha_-, \qquad Y_{AB}^+ \neq Y_{AB}^-. \tag{8}$$

The results for the simultaneous description of  $\bar{p}p$ , pp,  $\pi^{\pm}p$ ,  $K^{\pm}p$ ,  $\gamma\gamma$  and  $\gamma p$  reactions are given in Tables I (fits of  $\sigma_T$  data only) and II (fits of  $\sigma_T$  and  $\rho$  data).

Table 1: Results of the fits of  $\sigma_T$  data. The symbol = in the  $\alpha_+$  column means weak exchange-degeneracy ( $\alpha_+ = \alpha_-$ ).

|                     | G-           |    | exchange   |    | $\alpha_{+}$        | $\alpha$            | $N_{par}$ | $\chi^2/dof$ |
|---------------------|--------------|----|------------|----|---------------------|---------------------|-----------|--------------|
| Model               | Universality |    | degeneracy |    |                     |                     |           |              |
|                     | Yes          | No | Yes        | No | •                   |                     |           |              |
| $Xs^{\epsilon}$     |              | X  |            | X  | $0.66 \pm 0.02$     | $0.45 \pm\ 0.02$    | 16        | 0.931        |
|                     |              | X  | X          |    | =                   | 0.48                | 15        | 1.009        |
|                     |              | X  |            | X  | $0.618 \pm 0.021$   | $0.465{\pm}0.021$   | 17        | 0.936        |
| $Z + Xs^{\epsilon}$ |              | X  | X          |    | =                   | $0.491{\pm}0.023$   | 16        | 0.980        |
|                     | X            |    |            | X  | $0.526{\pm}0.029$   | $0.479 {\pm} 0.023$ | 17        | 0.835        |
|                     | X            |    | X          |    | =                   | $0.487 {\pm} 0.023$ | 16        | 0.836        |
|                     |              | X  |            | X  | $0.826 {\pm} 0.013$ | $0.468 {\pm} 0.022$ | 16        | 0.865        |
| $Z + X \ell n \ s$  |              | X  | X          |    | =                   | $0.586{\pm}0.019$   | 15        | 1.281        |
|                     | X            |    |            | X  | $0.658 {\pm} 0.007$ | $0.485{\pm}0.022$   | 16        | 1.066        |
|                     | X            |    | X          |    | =                   | $0.610 \pm 0.016$   | 15        | 1.286        |
|                     |              | X  |            | X  | $0.653 {\pm} 0.026$ | $0.465{\pm}0.022$   | 17        | 0.939        |
| $Z + X \ell n^2 s$  |              | X  | X          |    | =                   | $0.491{\pm}0.023$   | 16        | 0.990        |
|                     | X            |    |            | X  | $0.583 {\pm} 0.077$ | $0.476{\pm}0.023$   | 17        | 0.822        |
|                     | X            |    | X          |    | =                   | $0.478 {\pm} 0.024$ | 16        | 0.822        |
|                     | x            |    | x          |    | =                   | 0.48                | <b>15</b> | 0.819        |

It can be seen from Tables I-II that the G-universality leads to a clear improvement of the description of all the considered data. Moreover, the

Table 2: Results of the fits of  $\sigma_T$  and  $\rho$  data. The symbol = has the same meaning as in Table 1.

| G-                  |              | G-       | exchange |              |   |                                       |           |              |
|---------------------|--------------|----------|----------|--------------|---|---------------------------------------|-----------|--------------|
| Model               | Unive        | ersality | deger    | neracy       | $\alpha_{+}$                            | lpha                                  | $N_{par}$ | $\chi^2/dof$ |
|                     | Yes          | No       | Yes      | No           | •                                       |                                       |           |              |
| $Xs^{\epsilon}$     |              | X        |          | X            | $0.66 \pm 0.02$                         | $0.45 \pm\ 0.02$                      | 16        | 1.020        |
|                     |              | X        | X        |              | =                                       | 0.48                                  | 15        | 1.320        |
|                     |              | X        |          | X            | $0.641 \pm 0.012$                       | $0.440 \pm 0.015$                     | 17        | 1.024        |
| $Z + Xs^{\epsilon}$ |              | x        | X        |              | =                                       | $0.494{\pm}0.013$                     | 16        | 1.203        |
|                     | X            |          |          | X            | $0.602 \pm 0.014$                       | $0.458 {\pm} 0.016$                   | 17        | 0.986        |
|                     | X            |          | X        |              | =                                       | $0.500 {\pm} 0.013$                   | 16        | 1.092        |
|                     |              | X        |          | X            | $0.816 \pm 0.001$                       | $0.450 \pm 0.012$                     | 16        | 0.941        |
| $Z + X \ell n \ s$  |              | x        | X        |              | =                                       | $0.569 {\pm} 0.001$                   | 15        | 1.769        |
|                     | X            |          |          | X            | $0.691 {\pm} 0.005$                     | $0.465{\pm}0.015$                     | 16        | 1.250        |
|                     | X            |          | x        |              | =                                       | $0.592 {\pm} 0.008$                   | 15        | 1.944        |
|                     |              | X        |          | X            | $0.651 \pm 0.017$                       | $0.442 \pm 0.016$                     | 17        | 1.015        |
| $Z + X \ell n^2 s$  |              | X        | x        |              | =                                       | $0.475 {\pm} 0.014$                   | 16        | 1.142        |
|                     | $\mathbf{x}$ |          |          | $\mathbf{x}$ | $\textbf{0.552} {\pm} \ \textbf{0.048}$ | $\textbf{0.453} \!\pm \textbf{0.017}$ | 17        | 0.927        |
|                     | X            |          | X        |              | =                                       | $0.457{\pm}0.015$                     | 16        | 0.933        |

G-universality leads to a mild violation of the weak exchange-degeneracy  $(\alpha_+ - \alpha_- \simeq 0.1)$ , in constant with the non-universality cases. These two independent features could hardly be considered as numerical accidents. It is therefore important to explore the validity of the 2-component G-universal Pomeron in all the other (non-forward) existing data.

A remarkable result is the fact that the forward data clearly favour the maximal Heisenberg-Froissart-Martin  $\ell n^2 s$  behaviour of the hadron scattering amplitude [8]: the absolute minimum of  $\chi^2/dof$  is precisely obtained for the G-universal  $\ell n^2 s$  form of the amplitude. Our  $\chi^2/dof$  is better than that given in the last edition of "Review of Particle Physics" [2].

Let us also note that the dipole model, corresponding to a  $\ell n$  s behaviour of the scattering amplitude, has a serious pathology: the first component of the Pomeron  $Z_{AB}$  has a negative contribution to the total cross-sections. Therefore this  $\ell n$  s fit has to be dismissed. The

above pathological feature of the  $\ell n$  s model was already remarked in J.R. Cudell et al. [2], but it was omitted from the "Review of Particle Physics" [2].

The theoretical and numerical details will be presented elsewhere [3].

# Aknowledgements

I thank Prof. Roberto Fiore for the kind invitation at this wonderfully organized meeting and Prof. Vladimir Ezhela for important exchanges of information during the last year. I thank Dr. Pierre Gauron for a careful reading of the manuscript.

# References

- [1] A. Donnachie and P. V. Landshoff, Nucl. Phys. B244 (1984) 322.
- [2] Particle Data Group, Review of Particle Properties, Eur. Phys. J. C15 (2000) 231-238; J. R. Cudell, V. Ezhela, K. Kang, S. Lugovsky and N. Tkachenko, Phys. Rev. D61 (2000) 034019; computer readable data files can be found at http://pdg.lbl.gov/.
- [3] P. Gauron and B. Nicolescu, to be published.
- [4] J. Bartels, L. N. Lipatov and G. P. Vacca, Phys. Lett. B477 (2000) 178; G.P. Vacca, hep-ph/0007067 preprint.
- [5] P. Gauron and B. Nicolescu, Phys. Lett. B486 (2000) 71.
- [6] J. Kontros, K. Kontros and A. Lengyel, hep-ph/0006141.
- [7] P. Desgrolard, M. Giffon, E. Martynov and E. Predazzi, hep-ph/0006244.
- [8] W. Heisenberg, Z. Phys. 133 (1952) 65; M. Froissart, Phys. Rev. 123 (1961) 1053; A. Martin, Phys. Rev 129 (1963) 1432; Nuovo Cimento 42A (1966) 209.
- [9] L.G. Dakhno and V.A. Nikonov, Eur. Phys. J A5 (1999) 209.